

*IPG No: P076C***REMARKS**

Claims 27-30 have been amended. No claim has been cancelled. Claims 31-37 have been added. Claims 27-37 remain pending.

**Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(b)**

Claim 27 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,959,717, issued on September 28, 1999, to Chaum. Under 35 U.S.C. 102(b), a claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference. MPEP § 2131. Claim 27 has been amended. Withdraw of the rejection for anticipation is requested.

As amended, claim 27 recites a projection method. An image is projected. A text box with text on top of the image is projected through a light path modifier positioned at an offset from an object plane defined by a relay lens, resulting in the text box having diffused borders.

Chaum fails to teach the second projection effectuated through a light path modifier positioned at an offset from an object plane defined by a relay lens. Instead, Chaum discloses a system used for preventing unauthorized taping of movies where "holes" are left in the movie frames, and such "holes" are plugged by a second projection coming from a video projector (Col. 2, lines 1 – 45). Therefore, Chaum fails to describe each and every claim element, and therefore does not anticipate Claim 27, as amended. Withdraw of the rejection for anticipation is requested.

IPG No: P076C

Rejection for Double Patenting

Claims 27-30 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-26 of U.S. Patent No. 6,663,244. A terminal disclaimer is included in this Office Action Response. The rejection for obviousness-type double patenting is thus moot. Withdraw of the rejection for obviousness-type double patenting is requested.

New Claims 31-37

Claims 31-37 have been added. Claims 31-37 incorporate substantially the same limitations as contained in amended claim 27, and therefore are patentable for at least the above-stated reasons.

Conclusion

Claims 27-37 are believed to be in condition for allowance. Entry of the foregoing amendments is requested and a Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited. Please contact the undersigned at (503)796-2982 regarding any questions or concerns associated with the present matter.

Respectfully submitted,  
Schwabe, Williamson and Wyatt, P.C.



Date: June 30, 2004

---

Aloysius AuYeung  
Reg. No. 35,432